## 04,08

# Impurity paramagnetic centers in LiCaAIF<sub>6</sub> : Cr

#### © V.A. Vazhenin, M.Yu. Artyomov, A.P. Potapov

Ural Federal University (Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics), Yekaterinburg, Russia E-mail: Vladimir.Vazhenin@urfu.ru

Received June 25, 2024 Revised June 25, 2024 Accepted June 26, 2024

In LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> : Cr single crystals, paramagnetic resonance spectra of isolated  $Cr^{3+}$  centers and uncontrolled  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Gd^{3+}$ ,  $V^{2+}$  impurities were detected in several samples with different chromium concentrations. The parameters of the spin Hamiltonians  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Gd^{3+}$ ,  $V^{2+}$  are determined. Near the signals of  $Cr^{3+}$  centers, weak satellites were observed, attributed to transitions of interacting pairs of chromium ions.

Keywords: LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub>, uncontrolled impurities, paramagnetic resonance.

DOI: 10.61011/PSS.2024.08.59052.166

# 1. Introduction

Isostructural crystals LiMAlF<sub>6</sub> (M — Ca, Sr, Ba) of type colquiriite, having high transparency, large band gap [1] and not suffering from hygroscopicity [2], allow their activation by both ions of iron group and by rare-earth. Compounds LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> (LiCAF) and LiSrAlF<sub>6</sub> (LiSAF), doped with chromium, demonstrate combination of laser and optical properties making them unique tunable media in the near infrared range [3,4]. Their another feature is presence in compounds of light isotope of lithium <sup>6</sup>Li, able to absorb neutrons, this provides the possibility of these materials use as neutron detector, their doping (for example, by europium) allows optimize of their parameters [2].

The paramagnetic resonance (EPR) of ions  $Cr^{3+}$  ( $3d^3$ , electronic spin S = 3/2) in LiCAF and LiSAF was studied by authors [3,5], the observed in LiCAF centers  $Cr^{3+}$  were described by trigonal spin hamiltonian [3]. In paper [6] parameters of centers  $Cr^{3+}$  (g and D) were obtained by method of full diagonalization of the energy matrix based on the model of two spin-orbit parameters. The calculation results show that the observed positions of optical bands and three parameter of spin-hamiltonian (two g-factors and splitting in zero field D) can only be explained rationally with the help of four adjustable parameters [6]. Authors [3,5,6] conclude that ions  $Cr^{3+}$  replace the positions of aluminium.

This work presents study by method of EPR of paramagnetic centers implemented in  $LiCaAlF_6$ :  $Cr^{3+}$ .

# 2. Samples and experimental procedure

We studied three single-crystals  $LiCaAlF_6$ , doped by chromium and grown by Bridgman–Stockbarger method in laboratory of magnetic radiospectroscopy of Kazan State University. The growth was carried out in a resistance furnace (in a new graphite crucible and in an atmosphere of ultra-pure argon) [7].

First studied sample ("first") with chromium concentration about 1 at.% has form of a parallelepiped  $6 \times 2 \times 2$  mm with axis  $C_3$  along long dimension. Chromium concentration in two other, formless samples ("second" and "third") was estimated by value of integral intensities of EPR of low field transition of centers  $Cr^{3+}$  (45 : 100 : 1) respectively.

Spatial group of crystals LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> and LiSrAlF<sub>6</sub> according to papers [8,9] — (N<sup>a</sup> 163)  $P\bar{3}lc (D_{3d}^2)$ , a=b=0.5007 nm, c=0.9641 nm,  $\alpha=\beta=90^\circ$ ,  $\gamma=120^\circ$ . The octahedral positions of lithium and aluminium have symmetry  $32 (D_3)$ , point symmetry group of octahedral positions of calcium or strontium —  $\bar{3} (C_{3i})$ , symmetry of position of fluorine ions —  $1 (C_1)$  [8,9].

The orientation behavior of position of EPR-transitions of centers  $Cr^{3+}$  was measured at the magnetic field rotation in plane comprising axis  $C_3 \parallel \mathbf{z}$  (polar dependence) on spectrometer of X-band EMX Plus Bruker at room temperature in magnetic fields up to 1.4 T. The sample in a spectrometer resonant cavity was attached to a holder that is secured on the rod of the standard automatic goniometer and designed to rotate around the axis perpendicular to the rod.

## 3. Results and discussion

EPR spectrum of "first" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub>: Cr<sup>3+</sup> at **B** || **z** || C<sub>3</sub> is given in Figure 1. Three intensive signals belong to allowed ( $\Delta M = \pm 1, M$  — projection of electron spin) transitions of trigonal isolated centers Cr<sup>3+</sup>. In arbitrary orientations of the magnetic field ( $\theta \neq 0^{\circ}$ , 90°) additional three prohibited transitions ( $\Delta M \neq \pm 1$ ) are observed, having intensities comparable with that of the allowed transitions. The transition 2  $\leftrightarrow$  3 at  $\theta \approx 0^{\circ}$  (**z** || C<sub>3</sub>) demonstrates superhyperfine structure (SHFS) with components spacing 0.6 mT (Figure 2, *a*), caused by interaction with six equivalent nuclear spins (I = 1/2) of florine.

**Figure 1.** EPR spectrum (derivative of absorption signals) of "first" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub>:  $Cr^{3+}$  at **B** ||  $C_3$  (**B** — magnetic field induction) at frequency 9810 MHz. The shown in Figure numbers of energy levels for S = 3/2, between which appropriate transitions occur, are enumerated upwards.

Experimental and calculated parameters of SH of trigonal center  $Cr^{3+}$  in LiCAF at room temperature. F — RMS deviation by N used experiment points

Parameters	Experiment	Experiment [3]	Calculation [6]
$g_{\parallel}$ $g_{\perp}$ $b_{20} = D, \text{ MHz}$ $F(N), \text{ MHz}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.973(1) \\ 1.975(1) \\ -2920(10) \\ 5.2(527) \end{array}$	1.974(1) 1.974(2) -3028(15) -	1.976 1.977 -3058 -

Note. Sign of D was determined in paper [3].

To describe the orientation behavior of positions of six transitions  $Cr^{3+}$  the spin Hamiltonian (SH) of trigonal symmetry was used [10] without two last terms

$$H_{\rm sp} = \beta(\text{BgS}) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot b_{20}O_{20} + \frac{1}{60} \cdot (b_{40}O_{40} + b_{43}O_{43}) + \frac{1}{1260} \cdot (b_{60}O_{60} + b_{63}O_{63} + b_{66}O_{66}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}$ -tensor  $\beta$  — Bohr magneton,  $O_{nm}$  — Stevens spin operators,  $b_{nm}$  — fine structure parameters. Fitting of the parameters of SH centers  $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$  was carried out by minimizing the RMS deviation of the calculated and measured transition frequencies at the resonant fields. The fitting results are given in Table, quality of experiment description is demonstrated by Figure 3.

Measurements at frequency 37.3 GHz provide observations in region 700 mT of polar dependence of transitions  $1 \leftrightarrow 3$  and  $2 \leftrightarrow 4$ , their positions are well described by Table parameters.

Besides transition of trigonal centers  $Cr^{3+}$ , spectrum in Figure 1 shown multiple weak signals (satellites), surrounding transitions of the isolated centers. Peak intensities

of first derivative lines of isolated centers are by about one hundred times higher then these signals. Most likely, these signals are due to ions  $Cr^{3+}$ , localized in aluminium positions, but having defect in the environment, that slightly changes values of parameters of the fine structure, but resulting in symmetry decreasing. The defects indicated may be vacancies, host atoms in interstices, impurity atoms in sites or interstices, and also rearrangement defects (socalled antisite-defects). [11,12]). Orientation behavior of spectrum of said satellites is shown in Figure 3.

As the ions  $Cr^{3+}$  replaces the aluminium position isovalently, the formation of charges that compensate for defects does not occur, i.e. the association of chromium ions is possible only with the crystal's own defects. Due to different charge states of cations the formation of antisites in LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> is also unlikely. On other hand, rather high concentration in chromium crystal makes it possible to form pairs of ions  $Cr^{3+}$  associated with magnetic dipole and exchange interactions.

EPR spectrum of "second" sample is similar to the "first" sample, but with larger intensities and width of signals. As for the rest the spectra of "first" and "second" samples demonstrate equal set of centers and signals.



**Figure 2.** Superhyperfine structure *a*) of transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  of centers  $Cr^{3+}$  of "first" sample and *b*) high field (~ 400 mT) superhyperfine component of transition  $1 \leftrightarrow 3$  (see Figure 7) of centers  $V^{2+}$  of "third" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> :  $Cr^{3+}$  at **B** ||  $C_3$ .





**Figure 3.** Polar dependence of positions of transitions of trigonal centers  $Cr^{3+}$  and their satellites in "first" sample LiCAF. The dots — the experiment, the curves — calculation with the Table parameters.

In EPR spectrum of "third" sample LiCAF besides the isolated centers  $Cr^{3+}$  the intense signals of ions  $Mn^{2+}$  ( $3d^5$ ,  ${}^{6}S_{5/2}$ , S = 5/2, I = 5/2 are observed with natural spreading 100%), as well as centers  $Gd^{3+}$  ( $4f^7$ ,  ${}^{8}S_{7/2}$ , S = 7/2) and hyperfine octet of signals  $V^{2+}$  ( $3d^3$ ,  ${}^{4}F$ , S = 3/2, I = 7/2, 99.7%) are detected (see Figures 4 and 5). Considering the charge states of the observed impurity centers, we can logically suppose that ions  $Gd^{3+}$ , like  $Cr^{3+}$ , are localized in aluminum positions, and ions  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $V^{2+}$  occupy positions of two-charge calcium cation. As width of fine structure of centers  $Mn^{2+}$  is same as width of hyperfine structure, all components of which are perturbed by interaction with the nuclear spins of the fluorine environment (step along the field 1.5 mT), the very complex spectrum is observed.

For six transitions of centers  $Gd^{3+}$  (the central one is overlapped by intense signals  $Mn^{2+}$ ) the polar angular dependence is measured at unknown azimuthal angle  $\varphi$ . In arbitrary orientation of magnetic field centers of gadolinium have doublet splitting of signals, but transitions of axial center  $Cr^{3+}$  do not demonstrate such splitting. Most likely, said splitting is due to presence in sample of blocks turned around the axis  $C_3$ , which is detected in the spectrum of only high-spin  $Gd^{3+}$ . The superhyperfine structure on signals  $Gd^{3+}$  was not detected. The orientation behavior of positions (upon doublet splitting presence the average field value was used) is well described by spin Hamiltonian (1) with parameters obtained in fitting procedure

$$g = 1.991(1), \quad b_{20} = 856(3), \quad b_{40} = 12(3),$$
  
 $b_{43} = -20, \quad b_{60} = -4(2) \quad (b_{nm} \text{ in MHz})$ (2)

at RMS deviation F = 7.1 MHz and considering 226 experiment resonances. Absence of information on azimuthal dependence of spectrum excludes correct determination of  $b_{43}$ .

To refine value of trigonal parameter  $b_{43}$  the amplitude of azimuthal dependence of positions of transitions  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  of centers  $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$  was measured at  $\theta = 63.5^{\circ}$ , at that permanent polar angle is ensured by monitoring of overlap of transitions  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$  and  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  of axial center  $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ . Parameter  $b_{43}$  evaluation from these data provides value 130 MHz. Then polar dependence of positions of signals  $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$  at  $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$  was measured, i.e. when in azimuthal dependence the extremums of transition positions are implemented. This polar dependence is described by the following set of SH parameters (1):

$$g = 1.992(2), \quad b_{20} = 857(4), \quad b_{40} = 12(2),$$
  
 $b_{43} = 120(32), \quad b_{60} = -4(2), \quad b_{63} = -25(30),$   
 $b_{66} = -25(25) \quad (b_{nm} \text{ in MHz}).$  (3)

F = 6.7 MHz considering 127 experimental resonances. As we see the obtained values  $b_{43}$  and  $b_{63}$  are in good



**Figure 4.** EPR spectrum of ",third" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> :  $Cr^{3+}$  at **B**  $|| C_3$ . The intense signals in center — five hyperfine sextets of fine structure  $Mn^{2+}$  with fluorine structure, arrows show transitions of centers  $Gd^{3+}$ .



**Figure 5.** EPR spectrum of "third" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> :  $Cr^{3+}$  at **B**  $\perp$   $C_3$ , horizontal line marks eight components of hyperfine structure V<sup>2+</sup> (transition 1  $\leftrightarrow$  2), arrows show transitions of centers Gd<sup>3+</sup>.

agreement with evaluations from measurements of the azimuthal dependence.

Figure 5 shows that components of SHFS of ions V<sup>2+</sup> have low intensity as compared to signals of other centers detected in LiCAF. When going from orientations  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ , 90° the observed SHFS becomes very complicated due to occurrence of prohibited hyperfine components, i. e. literally by several degrees converts in set of large number of components with close intensities (Figure 6). At that on transition  $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$  we can track the change in resonance positions of eight initial components in rather large range of angles (Figure 7).

As EPR spectra were measured at room temperature only, the sign of parameter of zero field splitting for centers  $V^{2+}$ , like for centers  $Gd^{3+}$ , was not determined. So, when determining SH parameters for  $V^{2+}$  two options were

considered: parameters of zero field splitting and hyperfine interaction of same and different signs. Values of resonance magnetic fields of hyperfine components, not overlapped by more intense signals of other centers, were taken from polar dependence shown in Figure 7. Only those electron transitions and angles were used where the resonance positions of the components arising from 8 initial ones (corresponding to the allowed electron-nuclear transitions) were measured at  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$ . Signals of transitions  $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ ,  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  are very weak and were detected with confidence only using accumulation.

Calculation of signal positions during parameters fitting of two first members of spin Hamiltonian (1) with terms (SAI) and  $g_N\beta_N(BI)$ , but without weak nuclear quadrupole interaction [13], is performed by diagonalization of the complex energy matrix of 32-nd order { $(2S + 1) \cdot (2I + 1)$ }. The following results were obtained:

$$g_{\parallel} = 1.9572, \quad g_{\perp} = 1.9552, \quad b_{20} = \pm 8622.7,$$
  
 $A_{\parallel} = \mp 275.4, \quad A_{\perp} = \mp 274.8, \quad F = 4$  (4)

( $b_{20}$ , parameters of hyperfine interaction —  $A_{\parallel}$ ,  $A_{\perp}$  and F — in MHz, number of used positions of signals 294). Note that said value F is implemented only at specified ratio of signs  $b_{20}$  and A, supposing their same signs the



**Figure 6.** SHFS of centers V<sup>2+</sup> at LiCAF: *a*) transition  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$  at  $\theta = 3^{\circ}$ , *b*) transition  $3 \leftrightarrow 4$  at  $\theta = 86^{\circ}$ . Vertical sections — calculated positions and intensities of components obtained with SH parameters (4).



**Figure 7.** Orientation behavior of orientation positions of comp[onents of SHFS of centers  $V^{2+}$ : dots — experiment, curves — calculation with parameters (4). Solid curves — positions of extreme hyperfine components, dashed — behavior of transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  not considering hyperfine interaction. Electron levels are enumerated upward.

value *F* increases by more then two times. Qualitative description of SHFS  $V^{2+}$  by parameters (4), determined from resonance position of 8 allowed hyperfine components at different transitions, is demonstrated by calculated curves in Figure 7, and by calculated multicomponent SHFS in arbitrary orientations (Figure 6).

Same result (i. e. different signs of parameters) is obtained by authors [13] for centers V<sup>2+</sup> in crystals CsMgX<sub>3</sub> (X = Cl, Br, I). Negative sign of parameter of hyperfine interaction V<sup>2+</sup> in said compounds is predicted in [14], and in crystals *MCl* (M = Na, K, Rb), *MO* (M = Mg, Ca) and CsCdCl<sub>3</sub> in [15], [16] and [17], respectively. EPR spectra of centers V<sup>2+</sup> were also studied in ZnO [18] and Y<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> [19], but signs of parameters in them were not determined.

Figure 7 shows that orientation behavior of resonance positions of SHFS components of some electronic transitions of centers V<sup>2+</sup> has features that are mainly determined by crossing in region of observed transitions of electronic levels with  $|\Delta M| = 2$ . Energy levels of ions V<sup>2+</sup> at  $\theta = 0$ , calculated with parameters (4), explaining observed SHFS of transition  $1 \leftrightarrow 3$  (Figure 7), are shown in Figure 8. Absence of average components in top in field eight of SHFS and of high field component in bottom (Figure 7) is due to their overlapping by intense signals of centers Mn<sup>2+</sup>. Figure 8 shows that in region of crossing the electronic states 1/2 and -3/2 the hyperfine sub-levels of these states behave differently, namely: major of them pass the crossing region with repulsing (anticrossing), and some remain linear.

It is obvious that if we have possibility to study by experiments the frequency-field dependence of resonance positions of hyperfine components in the crossing region (Figure 8), then part of components will would have linear dependence, and another one — non-linear dependence and with strong dependence of intensity. Such dependences were obtained in paper [20] for centers  $Mn^{2+}$  in Pb<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub> near crossing of levels +1/2 and -3/2, namely for transition  $-1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$  in converging electronic levels (for transition  $-1/2 \leftrightarrow +1/2$  — in diverging electronic levels) the linear frequency-field dependence was implemented for two lower (top) over magnetic field components of SHFS, see Figure 8.

Of course, the above-described method of determining the relative signs of parameters is for ions  $V^{2+}$  in LiCAF purely hypothetical, since no experimental study of the type of frequency-field dependence was carried out due to the impossibility of changing the resonance frequency in the range necessary to observe the effect.

Confirmation of relative signs of parameters  $b_{20}$  and A in (4) was obtained when describing complex multicom-

ponent SHFS of transitions of centers  $V^{2+}$  in orientations of magnetic field  $\theta \neq 0^{\circ}$ , 90°. For example in Figure 9 shows SHFS of transition in levels 1–2 (Figure 7) at  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$ . We see that number of observed components in intervals between 8 intensive (initial) is different. Besides, shown in Figure 9 results of SHFS calculation with different signs of SH parameters clearly indicate that the experimental SHFS considering width of components is described by parameters of the zero field splitting  $b_{20}$  and hyperfine interaction *A* of different signs.

In spectrum of "third" sample satellites practically disappear in vicinity of transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  of isolated  $Cr^{3+}$ , well observed in spectra of "first" and "second" samples (Figure 1). This fact is not surprising, as chromium concentration in this sample, according to the integral intensity  $Cr^{3+}$ , is lower then in "first" sample, by about 45 times (see Sec. 2). Measurement and analysis of EPRspectrum of "second" sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> :  $Cr^{3+}$  showed that increase in chromium concentration by about two times (see Sec. 2) resulted in doubling of ratio of integral dependences of satellites and isolated centers of chromium. So, ratio of integral intensities of satellites and signals of individual chromium ions increases with concentration almost linearly, this is sign of dimeric nature of satellites.

In structure LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> the closest positions  $Al^{3+}$ , which can replace pairs of chromium ions, have the following parameters:

$$R_1 = 0.5 \text{ nm}, \quad \theta_1 = 90^\circ, \quad C_1, \quad \alpha_1 \approx 400 \text{ MHz};$$
  
 $R_2 = 0.562 \text{ nm}, \quad \theta_2 = 30.9^\circ, \quad C_1, \quad \alpha_2 \approx 300 \text{ MHz};$   
 $R_3 = 0.964 \text{ nm}, \quad \theta_3 = 0^\circ, \text{ symmetry } C_3,$   
 $\alpha_3 = g^2 \beta^2 / r^3 \approx 60 \text{ MHz}.$ 

Dimers of chromium ions with point symmetry group  $C_1$  reproduce by operation  $C_3$  of crystal to three, this is observed in Figure 3 in arbitrary orientation of magnetic field, signals of third pair during going from **B**  $|| C_3$  shall not be split.

In order to determine the parameters of the spin Hamiltonians of the observed pair complexes, the polar angular dependence was measured (see Figure 3). For calculations the spin Hamiltonian of pair of ions  $Cr^{3+}$  of the following view was used:

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + \alpha (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - 3S_{1z}S_{2z}) + J(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2)$$

where  $H_i$  — Hamiltonian of individual ion,  $\alpha$  — parameter of dipole interaction, J — exchange integral. Making the complex matrix of 16-th order, its diagonalization, determination of positions and intensities of transitions of dimers  $Cr^{3+}-Cr^{3+}$  showed that signals of the third pair are located mainly on wings of lines of isolated ions, greatly broadened by interaction with fluorine environment, and, more likely, and therefore are not detected.

Calculation results of transitions of first and second pairs of ions  $Cr^{3+}-Cr^{3+}$  with parameters  $\alpha_1 \approx 400$ ,  $J_1 = -400$ 



**Figure 8.** Calculated energy levels and components of SHFS of centers  $V^{2+}$  in LiCAF at  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ . In range of fields 320-380 mT in "third" sample the intensive signals of ions  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  are observed (see Figure 4).



**Figure 9.** SHFS of transition between levels  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$  at  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$ . Vertical sections — positions and intensities of components calculated with parameters  $b_{20} > 0$ , A > 0 (solid blue) and  $b_{20} > 0$ , A < 0 (dashed red).



**Figure 10.** Experimental spectrum, as well as calculated positions and intensities of signals of second (black dashed segments) and first (red solid) pairs of ions  $Cr^{3+}-Cr^{3+}$  in the vicinity of transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  of isolated ion at **B** ||  $C_3$ .

and  $\alpha_2 \approx 300$ ,  $J_2 = -200$  MHz respectively, are given in Figure 10 near transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ . It is shown that calculated positions of transitions of pairs approximately enter the range of observed signals-satellites. This fact is important argument of these signals referring to the transitions of pair complexes of chromium. Unfortunately, we were unable to identify the detected signals with transitions of specific pair and, therefore, determine SH parameters. Only considering the concentration dependence of intensity of signals-satellites, we state that said resonances near transition  $2 \leftrightarrow 3$  are determined by pair complexes  $Cr^{3+}$ .

Near transitions of isolated ions  $Cr^{3+}$   $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ ,  $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ "third" sample demonstrates signals similar to resonances of "first" sample, due to this they can not be referred to the transitions of pair complexes  $Cr^{3+}$ . Most likely, these signals belong to transitions of isolated ions associated with crystal defects and having triclinic symmetry. Unfortunately, the impossibility of tracking the orientation behavior of these signals in sufficient range of angles does not allow us to determine their SH parameters.

# 4. Conclusion

EPR-spectrum of three samples LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub> containing different concentration of chromium ions is studied. In samples with mean and large concentration of chromium additionally to spectrum of isolated ions  $Cr^{3+}$ , which fine structure parameters were determined by us, weak signals-satellites were observed. As a result of spectrum calculation of pairs of ions linked by magnetic dipole interaction, and analysis of concentration dependence of intensity the specified satellites are referred to pair complexes  $Cr^{3+}$ .

In low doped with chromium sample LiCaAlF<sub>6</sub>, besides the intense signals  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$ , weak spectra of trigonal centers  $Gd^{3+}$  and  $V^{2+}$  are detected, for them parameters of spin Hamiltonians are determined. The comparable widths of fine, hyperfine and superhyperfine structures of ions  $Mn^{2+}$  resulted in spectrum very complex for analysis. Considerations on localization of observed paramagnetic centers are provided.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to G. Shakurov for providing samples and constant interest in the work.

### Funding

The study was financially supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, topic No. FEUZ-2023-0017 using the equipment provided by Ural Common Use Center "Modern Nanotechnologies" of Ural Federal University (Reg. No. 2968).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- V.A. Pustovarov, I.N. Ogorodnikov, S.I. Omelkov, D.A. Spassky, L.I. Isaenko. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 31, 8, 1926 (2014).
- [2] M. Buryi, V. Babin, V. Laguta, Y. Yokota, H. Sato, A. Yoshikawa, J. Pejchal, M. Nikl. J. Alloys Comp. 858, 158297 (2021).
- [3] M. Yamaga, B. Henderson, K. Holliday, T. Yosidak, M. Fukui, K. Kindo. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 50, 10499 (1999).
- [4] R. Martinez Vázquez, M.T. Santos, F.J. López, D. Bravo, E. Diéguez. J. Crystal Growth 237–239, Part 1, 894 (2002).
- [5] A.N. Medina, A.C. Bento, M.L. Baesso, F.G. Gandra, T. Catunda, A. Cassanho. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, 36, 8435 (2001).
- [6] L.-R. Yang, C.-F. Wei, Y. Mei, W.-C. Zheng. J. Fluorine Chem. 189, 39 (2016).
- [7] R.Yu. Abdulsabirov, I.I. Antonova, S.L. Korableva, N.M. Nizamutdinov, V.G. Stepanov, N.M. Khasanova. FTT **39**, *3*, 488 (1997). (in Russian).
- [8] Y. Yin, D.A. Keszler. Chem. Mater. 4, 3, 645 (1992).
- [9] S. Kuze, D.Du Boulay, N. Ishizawa, N. Kodama, M. Yamaga, B. Henderson. J. Solid State Chem. 177, 10, 3505 (2004).
- [10] S.A. Altshuler, B.M. Kozyrev. Elektronnyi paramagnitnyi rezonans soedineniy elementov promezhutochnykh grupp. Nauka, M., (1972). S. 121 (in Russian).
- [11] G.R. Asatryan, D.D. Kramushchenko, Yu.A. Uspenskaya, P.G. Baranov, A.G. Petrosyan. Phys. Solid State 56, 6, 1150 (2014).
- [12] A.B. Muñoz-Garcia, Z. Barandiaran, L. Seijo. J. Mater. Chem. 22, 37, 19888 (2012).
- [13] G.L. McPherson, R.C. Koch, G.D. Stucky. J. Chem. Phys. 60, 4, 1424 (1974).
- [14] X.-Y. Gao, S.-Y. Wu, W.-H. Wei, W.-Z. Yan. Z. Naturforsch. 60a, 3, 145 (2005).
- [15] F. Van Steen, M. Vanhaelst, P. Matthys, E. Boesman, D. Schoemaker. Phys. Status Solidi B 103, 1, 345 (1981).
- [16] S.-Y. Wu, H.-N. Dong. Z. Naturforsch. 59a, 10, 689 (2004).
- [17] W.-C. Zheng, S.-Y. Wu. Spectrochim. Acta Part A 58, 1, 79 (2002).
- [18] P. Schreiber, A. Hausmann. Z. Physik 251, 1, 71 (1972).
- [19] V.A. Vazhenin, A.P. Potapov, G.R. Asatryan, M.Yu. Artyomov. Phys. Solid State 62, 11, 2116 (2020).
- [20] V.A. Vazhenin, A.D. Gorlov, A.P. Potapov. FTT 28, 7, 2043 (1986). (in Russian).

Translated by I.Mazurov